

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**CORTEZ RHODES,**

**Defendant.**

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

**8:12CR289**

**ORDER**

This matter is before the court on the motion to continue by defendant Cortez Rhodes (Rhodes) (Filing No. 57). Rhodes seeks a continuance of the trial of this matter which is scheduled for December 17, 2012. Rhodes has filed an affidavit wherein Rhodes represents that he consents to the motion and acknowledges he understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act (Filing No. 19). Rhodes' counsel represents that government's counsel has no objection to the motion. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted.

**IT IS ORDERED:**

1. Rhodes' motion to continue trial (Filing No. 18) is granted.
2. Trial of this matter is re-scheduled for **February 4, 2013**, before Judge Joseph F. Bataillon and a jury. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **December 3, 2012, and February 4, 2013**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason that defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B).

DATED this 3rd day of December, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken  
United States Magistrate Judge